
THE ILLINOIS COMPREHENSIVE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION PLAN & STRATEGY

<i>Section</i>	<i>Page</i>
i. PARTNERS IN THE ILLINOIS COMPREHENSIVE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION PLAN & STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT	i
ii. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
iii. FOREWORD	vi
iv. GUIDE TO THE EIGHT REQUIRED ELEMENTS IN THE ILLINOIS COMPREHENSIVE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION PLAN & STRATEGY FOR THE NATIONAL ACCEPTANCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE	viii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. The Need for a Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Plan/Strategy	3
B. Required Elements of a Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Plan/Strategy	4
C. The Purpose of a Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Plan/Strategy for Illinois	5
D. Assumptions	7
II. APPROACH & METHODS	9
A. Organizational Structure	9
B. Public & Partner Involvement	11
C. Other Planning Efforts Incorporated into the Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Plan/Strategy	14
D. Identifying Priorities, Problems & Actions	15
E. Monitoring & Adaptive Management	20
F. Plan Revision & Review	22
III. STATEWIDE OVERVIEW	24
A. Ecological Divisions of Illinois	24

<i>Section</i>	<i>Page</i>
B. Current Status of Illinois Wildlife & Habitat Resources	30
C. Desired Condition for Illinois Wildlife & Habitat Resources	40
D. Challenges for Illinois Wildlife & Habitat Resources	52
E. Priority Actions for Conserving Illinois Wildlife & Habitat Resources	59
Streams Campaign	60
Forests Campaign	66
Farmland & Prairies Campaign	71
Wetlands Campaign	77
Invasive Species Campaign	81
Land & Water Stewardship Campaign	84
Green Cities Campaign	88
Priority Locations for Conserving Illinois' Species in Greatest Need of Conservation	92
F. Research, Monitoring & Evaluation	98
 IV. NATURAL DIVISION ASSESSMENTS	 119
A. Coastal Plain	121
B. Grand Prairie	130
C. Illinois River and Mississippi River Sand Areas	141
D. Lake Michigan	147
E. Lower Mississippi River Bottomlands	153
F. Middle Mississippi Border	160
G. Northeastern Morainal	166
H. Ozark	178
I. Rock River Hill Country	189
J. Shawnee Hills	195
K. Southern Till Plain	203
L. Upper Mississippi River and Illinois River Bottomlands	213
M. Wabash Border	221
N. Western Forest-Prairie	231
O. Wisconsin Driftless	239

<i>Section</i>	<i>Page</i>
V. PLAN REVIEW & REVISION	247
VI. SUMMARY & BEGINNING IMPLEMENTATION	248
VII. REFERENCES & RESOURCES	250
VIII. TABLES	258
Table 1. Executive Staff in the Illinois Department of Natural Resources Office of Resource Conservation during the planning process	258
Table 2. Composition of the Illinois Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Plan/ Strategy Steering Committee	259
Table 3. Plans used to develop the Illinois Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Plan/Strategy	260
Table 4. Criteria for Selecting Illinois' Species in Greatest Need of Conservation	262
Table 5. Habitat categories and definitions used in the Illinois Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Plan/Strategy	263
Table 6. Stresses considered as potentially having adverse effects on Illinois' Species in Greatest Need of Conservation	266
Table 7. Step-down of statewide habitat objectives to the natural division level	268
Table 8. Conservation Opportunity Areas	270

<i>Section</i>	<i>Page</i>
Table 9. Expected updates to the Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Plan & Strategy, and their relative frequency	272
Table 10. Timeline and activities for 10-year revision to the Illinois Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Plan & Strategy	274
IX. FIGURES	275
Fig. 1 The Nature Conservancy's Ecoregions in Illinois	275
Fig. 2 The North American Bird Conservation Initiative's Bird Conservation Regions in Illinois	276
Fig. 3 The Natural Divisions of Illinois	277
Fig. 4 The information on distribution, abundance, habitat association, and status available for the red-veined prairie leafhopper in Nyboer et al. (2004). Similar accounts in this source are available for all of Illinois' Threatened and Endangered Species.	278
Fig. 5 The information on distribution and abundance available for the ellipse, a freshwater mussel, in the Illinois Natural History Survey's mussel database. Similar accounts in this source are available for all of Illinois' Mussels in Greatest Need of Conservation.	279
Fig. 6 The information on distribution and abundance available for the central mudminnow, <i>Umbra limi</i> , in the Illinois Natural History Survey's fish collections database. Similar accounts in this source are available for all of Illinois' Fishes in Greatest Need of Conservation.	280

<i>Section</i>	<i>Page</i>
Fig. 7 The information on distribution, abundance, habitat association, natural history and status available for the crayfish frog in Phillips et al. (1999). Similar accounts in this source are available for all of Illinois' Amphibians and Reptiles in Greatest Need of Conservation.	281
Fig. 8 The information on distribution, abundance, habitat association, and status available for the bobolink in Kleen et al. (2004). Similar accounts in this source are available for all of Illinois' Birds in Greatest Need of Conservation that nest within Illinois.	282
Fig. 9 The information on distribution of the least weasel from the Illinois GAP Analysis Project (http://www.inhs.uiuc.edu/cwe/gap/). Similar maps from this project are available for all of Illinois' Amphibians, Reptiles, Birds and Mammals in Greatest Need of Conservation.	285
Fig. 10 The Land Cover of Illinois, based on 1999-2000 imagery data (Luman et al. 2004)	286
Fig. 11 Priority conservation areas identified by other conservation plans and other known resource locations.	287
Fig. 12 Ranking of upland forest habitat for Illinois' Species in Greatest Need of Conservation, based on forest size, diversity of Species in Greatest Need of Conservation predicted from GAP Analysis, known locations of endangered species, and Illinois Natural Areas Inventory forest communities.	288
Fig. 13 Ranking of prairie areas for Illinois' Species in Greatest Need of Conservation, based on diversity of Species in Greatest Need of Conservation predicted from GAP Analysis, known locations of endangered species, railroad prairie remnants and Illinois Natural Areas Inventory prairie communities.	289

<i>Section</i>	<i>Page</i>
Fig. 14 Ranking of forested wetland habitat (bottomland forest and swamp) for Illinois' Species in Greatest Need of Conservation, based on wetland size, diversity of Species in Greatest Need of Conservation predicted from GAP Analysis, known locations of endangered species, and Illinois Natural Areas Inventory forested wetland communities.	290
Fig. 15 Ranking of emergent and shallow water wetland habitat for Illinois' Species in Greatest Need of Conservation, based on wetland size, diversity of Species in Greatest Need of Conservation predicted from GAP Analysis, known locations of endangered species, and Illinois Natural Areas Inventory emergent wetland communities.	291
Fig. 16 Ranking of stream habitat for Illinois' Species in Greatest Need of Conservation, based on diversity of fish and mussel Species in Greatest Need of Conservation, known locations of endangered species, and Illinois Natural Areas Inventory stream communities.	292
Fig. 17 Partner-selected priority areas for conserving Illinois' Species in Greatest Need of Conservation, indicated by participants in planning workshops in 2004.	293
X. APPENDICES	294
Appendix I. Species in Greatest Need of Conservation for Illinois as identified by eight criteria	294
Appendix II. Status, Objectives, and Stresses to Illinois' Wildlife & Habitat Resources	310
Appendix III. Stresses addressed, habitats improved, priority locations and performance measures for key conservation actions	344